State	MW Installed	Facility Siting Guidelines	Environmental Review/ Wildlife Guidelines
Arizona	0 MW		Wind Energy Development Guidelines exist to recommend ways to minimize wildlife impacts
California	2376 MW		California Environmental Quality Act requires review of environmental impacts of proposed actions, including all wind projects. In addition, the California Energy Commission and the Department of Fish & Game are developing specific wind energy siting guidelines, expected to be finalized in 2007.
Colorado	291 MW	Public Utilities Commission regulates projects larger than 2 MW	PUC Rule requires consultation with the Colorado Division of Wildlife and the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, as well as specific surveys of potential impacts
Connecticut	0 MW	Connecticut Siting Council regulates any power facility over 1 MW	A Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need is granted after applicants have included descriptions of potential effect of facilities to the environment, including wildlife impacts.
Hawaii	63 MW		The Department of Land and Natural Resources permits projects, in consultation with the Department of Forestry and Wildlife.
lowa	932 MW	lowa Utilities Board provides a certificate of public convenience, use, and necessity for power plants over 25 MW, wind projects are sometimes exempt	The Department of Natural Resources regulates all wind projects and has mapped Areas of Concern for Wind Farm Siting.
Kansas	364 MW		Kansas Energy Council developed a Siting Handbook that includes guidelines and the Kansas Renewable Energy Working Group developed wind siting guidelines. Kansas Department of Wildlife and Parks is consulted during development.

^{**} Information adapted from Association of Fish & Wildlife Agencies "Wind Power Siting Regulations and Wildlife Guidelines in the United States" April 2007 State Siting and Wildlife Laws - including laws pertaining to historic preservation, state wetlands and waterways, storm water runoff protection, agricultural production and state threatened and endangered species – also apply to wind energy projects.

State	MW	Facility Siting Guidelines	Environmental Review/ Wildlife Guidelines
Maine	42 MW	Department of Environmental Protection relates large structures over 20 acres.	The Department of Inland Fisheries & Wildlife, the Department of Environmental Protection and the Land Use Regulatory Commission conduct environmental reviews of projects.
Maryland	0 MW	The Public Service Commission issues Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity for projects over 70 MW	Siting Guidelines to Mitigate Avian and Bat Risks from Wind Power Projects completed
Massachusetts	4 MW	Energy Facilities Siting Board (EFSB) regulates construction of plants and the Secretary of the Executive Office of Environmental Affairs is on that Board. However, most on-land wind projects in the state will be smaller than EFSB thresholds and not subject to EFSB review.	Model zoning for municipalities was developed by the Division of Energy Resources and the Executive Office of Environmental Affairs. State review through Environmental Notification Form. Some wind projects may also trigger state review under the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act (MEPA).
Michigan	3 MW		Michigan Siting Guidelines for Wind Energy Systems recommend wildlife impact analysis among other things.
Minnesota	895 MW	Public Utility Commission regulates projects over 5 MW, which has specific wind project siting requirements	Wildlife impact analysis required under PUC process.
Nevada	0 MW	Public Utilities Commission issues permits for power plants over 150 kW	The Department of Natural Resources is integrated into the PUC review process
New Hampshire	1 MW	Energy Facility Siting Evaluation Committee certifies facilities over 30 MW.	The head of the Fish & Game Department is one of the officials on the Committee, and that department reviews projects for potential wildlife impacts.
New Mexico	496 MW		Fish & Game Department has guidelines on the Impacts of Wind Energy Development on Wildlife and is consulted on projects.

^{**} Information adapted from Association of Fish & Wildlife Agencies "Wind Power Siting Regulations and Wildlife Guidelines in the United States" April 2007 State Siting and Wildlife Laws - including laws pertaining to historic preservation, state wetlands and waterways, storm water runoff protection, agricultural production and state threatened and endangered species – also apply to wind energy projects.

State	MW	Facility Siting Guidelines	Environmental Review/ Wildlife Guidelines
New York	370 MW	Public Service Commission approves projects over 80 MW	Department of Environmental Conservation is developing voluntary guidelines for all projects in the state. All wind projects reviewed under the State Environmental Quality Review act.
North Dakota	178 MW	Public Service Commission regulates projects over 100 MW	Game & Fish Department is involved in the PSC review process
Ohio	7 MW	Power Siting Board responsible for projects greater than 50 MW	2 guidance documents: Siting New Energy Infrastructure in Ohio – A Guidance Document, and Summary of the Ohio Department of Natural Resources Authorities and Guidance for the Siting and Operation of Wind Power Generating Facilities in Ohio
Oregon	438 MW	Energy Facility Siting Council approves projects 105 MW or greater, Department of Fish & Wildlife involved in that process	Energy Facility Siting Standards in place that include wildlife considerations
Pennsylvania	179 MW		Game Commission recently released a cooperative agreement outlining study recommendations based on site-specific biological issues. Several wind developers have joined as signatories.
South Dakota	44 MW	Public Utility Commission permits energy facilities over 100 MW	Department of Game, Fish & Parks developed Siting Guidelines for Wind Power Projects that include wildlife considerations
Texas	2749 MW		Draft guidelines under development with Parks & Wildlife Department
Vermont	6 MW	Public Service Board certifies all wind projects except residential applications	Agency of Natural Resources has Guidelines for the Review and Evaluation of Potential Natural Resources Impacts from Utility-Scale Wind Energy Facilities in Vermont

^{**} Information adapted from Association of Fish & Wildlife Agencies "Wind Power Siting Regulations and Wildlife Guidelines in the United States" April 2007 State Siting and Wildlife Laws - including laws pertaining to historic preservation, state wetlands and waterways, storm water runoff protection, agricultural production and state threatened and endangered species – also apply to wind energy projects.

State	MW	Facility Siting Guidelines	Environmental Review/ Wildlife Guidelines
Washington	818 MW	State Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council regulates all projects over 350 MW and any renewable energy projects can opt- in to this process	Department of Fish & Wildlife developed Wind Power Guidelines in 2003 that include wildlife review and habitat considerations
West Virginia	66 MW	Public Service Commission regulates development	PSC includes environmental review in certification process for wind energy projects
Wisconsin	53 MW	Public Service Commission certifies projects over 100 MW, including wildlife considerations	Guidelines developed: Considering Natural Resource Issues in Wind Farm Siting in Wisconsin

^{**} Information adapted from Association of Fish & Wildlife Agencies "Wind Power Siting Regulations and Wildlife Guidelines in the United States" April 2007 State Siting and Wildlife Laws - including laws pertaining to historic preservation, state wetlands and waterways, storm water runoff protection, agricultural production and state threatened and endangered species – also apply to wind energy projects.